

Table 1 description of the provided data which correspond to the Figures of the manuscript

ID	Name	Description	Unit	Timeframe	Figure in manuscript
1	./Current/QGIS_files/EU_budget_2030_min.tif	Agricultural management practice scenario that resulted in the lowest P balance for the target area defined by $P_{\text{Available}} > 22 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$.	[]	2020-2029	Figure 7.a
2	./Current/QGIS_files/EU_budget_2050_min.tif	Agricultural management practice scenario that resulted in the lowest P balance for the target area defined by $P_{\text{Available}} > 22 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$.	[]	2040-2049	Figure 7.a
3	./Current/QGIS_files/EU_erosion_2030_min.tif	Agricultural management practice scenario that resulted in the lowest P erosion for the target area defined by $P_{\text{Available}} > 22 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$.	[]	2020-2029	Figure 7.b
4	./Current/QGIS_files/EU_erosion_2050_min.tif	Agricultural management practice scenario that resulted in the lowest P erosion for the target area defined by $P_{\text{Available}} > 22 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$.	[]	2040-2049	Figure 7.b
5	./Current/QGIS_files/EU_export_2030_max.tif	Agricultural management practice scenario that resulted in the highest P export for the target area defined by $P_{\text{Available}} > 22 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$.	[]	2020-2029	Figure 7.c
6	./Current/QGIS_files/EU_export_2050_max.tif	Agricultural management practice scenario that resulted in the highest P export for the target area defined by $P_{\text{Available}} > 22 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$.	[]	2040-2049	Figure 7.c
7	./Current/QGIS_files/Pav_leach.tif	Target area of $P_{\text{Available}} > 40 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$, which is at higher risk of P leaching.	[]	2010-2019	Figure S12
8	./Current/QGIS_files/Pav_of_Ptot.tif	Ratio of $P_{\text{Available}} / P_{\text{Total}}$ in the agricultural topsoil (0-30 cm) of the EU and UK.	[%]	2010-2019	Figure S2
9	./Current/QGIS_files/Pav_sufficient.tif	Target area of $P_{\text{Available}} > 22 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$, which is expected to supply enough P to the crops.	[]	2010-2019	Figure S11

10	./Current/QGIS_files/Pavail_Olsen.tif	Average P _{Available} pool (based on the Olsen method) in the agricultural topsoil (0–30 cm) of the EU and UK.	[kg ha ⁻¹]	2010-2019	Figure 5.b
11	./Current/QGIS_files/Pbudget.tif	Average P balance of EU and UK agricultural soils.	[kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹]	2010-2019	Figure 4.a
12	./Current/QGIS_files/Pbudget_highSorp.tif	Average P balance from running the model with a high maximum sorption capacity (1.5-times) and maximal sorption affinity.	[kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹]	2010-2019	Figure 4.b
13	./Current/QGIS_files/Pbudget_lowSorp.tif	Average P balance from running the model with a low maximum sorption capacity (0.5-times) and minimal sorption affinity.	[kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹]	2010-2019	Figure 4.c
14	./Current/QGIS_files/Perod.tif	Average P export of EU and UK agricultural soils by net P erosion.	[kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹]	2010-2019	Figure 3.b
15	./Current/QGIS_files/Pexport.tif	Average P export of EU and UK agricultural soils by crop harvest.	[kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹]	2010-2019	Figure 3.a
16	./Current/QGIS_files/Pin_mineral.tif	Average yearly P inputs to agricultural soils from mineral fertilizers application.	[kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹]	2010-2019	Figure 2.b
17	./Current/QGIS_files/Pin_organic.tif	Average yearly P inputs to agricultural soils from manure.	[kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹]	2010-2019	Figure 2.a
18	./Current/QGIS_files/Pinput.tif	Average yearly P inputs from mineral plus organic fertilizer application to agricultural soils.	[kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹]	2010-2019	Figure S1
19	./Current/QGIS_files/Ptot.tif	Average P _{Total} pool in the agricultural topsoil (0–30 cm) of the EU and UK.	[kg ha ⁻¹]	2010-2019	Figure 5.a
20	./Current/QGIS_files/high_eros.tif	Target area defined by regions with high P erosion (> 1 g P kg ⁻¹).	[]	2010-2019	Figure S13