European regulatory network on pesticide groundwater monitoring

Presentation prepared for the 21st International Fresenius AGRO Conference Behaviour of Pesticides in Air, Soil and Water

Authors:

Anne Louise Gimsing, Danish EPA, DK

Arnaud Boivin, ANSES, FR

Wolfram Koenig, UBA, DE

Anton Poot, Ctgb, NL

Andreas Schwen, AGES, AT











Igor Kondzielski – IOŚ-PIB, Warsaw,PL (speaker)





PLAN OF THE PRESENTATION:

PAST: Why the workgroup was created (aims and rationale);

PRESENT: current activities (1st meeting, interim period, 2nd meeting);

FUTURE: activities after the 2nd meeting (towards the 3rd meeting and next);

AND BEYOND: the final document (guidelines), information-exchange platform, further activities after closing the Workgroup;



AIM:

"to exchange pesticide groundwater monitoring data and frequent updates about the focus of national monitoring, and to assist each other in the interpretation of the data."

RATIONALE:

1) Groundwater monitoring data should be included in the assessment of leaching risk of pesticides and their metabolites.



RATIONALE:



- 2) Monitoring data is generated in most European countries, but their use for leaching risk assessment during pesticide authorization is sometimes difficult due to the following reasons:
- i. the data is not often publicaly available or available in an aggregated form in a report;
- ii. most often it is available in the national language of the origin country only, which makes it hard for other countries to use it;
- iii. the interpretation of groundwater monitoring data requires detailed knowledge of the local, geological, hydrological and climatic conditions, and also knowledge about the crops and the use practice for pesticides;





<u>AT PRESENT:</u> regulators representing authorities evaluating PPP (MS, EFSA, COM);

NEXT STAGE: risk managers and people from governmental institutions involved in monitoring activities (time of the action still under consideration);

Involvement of the Industry/Notifiers not forseen at present.



FIRST ACTIONS:



- 1) Initiation of the Workgroup, analysis of the problem, identifications of the goals;
- 2) First presentation poster at SETAC Europe 28th Annual Meeting, Rome 2018;
- 3) Organisation of the 1st meeting of the Workgroup;







DISCUSSED SUBJECTS:

- 1) Presentation of the Workgroup, its aims and expectations
- 2) Determination of the present state of art.
- 3) Identification of the problems
- 4) Discussion of the possible solutions and ways to achieve them
- 5) Technicalities





PARTICIPANTS

In person (1 representative per country):

<u>Austria,</u> Czech Republic, <u>Denmark,</u> Estonia, <u>France,</u> <u>Germany,</u> Lithuania, <u>The Netherlands, Norway, Poland,</u> Slovenia, Switzerland, United Kingdom

Expressed interest (but no physical participation): Belgium, Croatia, Finland, Ireland, Italy, Greece (possibly)

No response and contact sought:

Bulgaria, Hungary, Latvia, Malta, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia (Cyprus?)



SOME RESOLUTIONS:



- Demark to chair the Workgroup,
- At present Workgroup is open for persticide risk assessors (option for later period to invite representatives from agencies performing monitoring, and possibly risk managers),
- No limitations regarding the number of would-be participants from each MS, but one contact person contact point per country (preferably attendant of the meeting),
- New meeting autumn 2019, agenda to be prepared by volunteeres in the interim period;
 - Questionnaire to get more information about the GW monitoring programmes in each MS to be distributed, collected and processed in the interim period;
 - Presentations from the meeting to be made available later;

#ŚrodowiskoŻyciem

INTERIM PERIOD – actions:





National groundwater monitoring programme	
Member state:	
General information	
Name of authority responsible for monitoring programme:	
Adress:	
General URL:	
Contact person (if known and different from monitoring network contact):	
Accessable by public (yes/no/limitations (e.g., due to data protection)):	
Language of monitoring database:	
Additional monitoring reports on a regularly basis (if existing):	
Monitoring programme	
Number of monitoring wells in current programme:	
Sampling frequency for all wells:	
Sampling frequency for selected wells (if different):	
Number of tested pesticides and metabolites in current programme:	

Substance name	authorised (by 2016) (y/n)	no. of samples	findings above LOD absolute %				comment

Metabolites

Metabolites								
Substance name	parent authorised (by year) (y/n)	no. of samples	findings above LOD absolute %				comment	

viskoŻyciem

<u>INTERIM PERIOD – actions:</u>



Preparation of the 2nd meeting:

Place: Vienna, Austria (hosted by AGES),

Period: Late September,

"Steering group": DK, AT, DE, NL, PL, with help of FR

Programme: prepared and distributed, together with

invitations, at the end of March,

Deadline for registration: 17 May 2019





SOME TOPICS:



- SETAC-EMAG PEST publication on GW monitoring:
- GW protection goals
- Vulnerability models
- Target monitoring v/s public monitoring
- Literature data including/and those outside Europe and how to use them
- Analysis of the working examples (1 active substance, 1 metabolite)
- Data base and how to use it
- Glossary and virtual library
- Data quality
 - Next meeting



Third meeting? Place -? Dates -?

FUTURE:



To create an effective platform helping the experts solving their problems related to GW monitoring of pesticides

To prepare the documents/guidelines helping in the assessment of the GW monitoring studies/reports for pesticides in regulatory context.









Dr Igor Kondzielski
Department of Environmental Chemistry and Risk Assessment
Institute of Environmental Protection – National Research
Institute
Krucza 5/11D str.
00-548 Warsaw, POLAND

gor.kondzielski@ios.edu.pl

e-mail: